

## RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Organization Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Project Type: \_\_\_\_\_ PSH \_\_\_\_\_  
 Project Identifier: \_\_\_\_\_

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
<b>PERFORMANCE MEASURES</b>				
<b>Length of Stay</b>				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	Participants are placed in housing less than 180 days after referral to PSH	<input type="text"/> days	<input type="text"/> out of	20
<b>Exits to Permanent Housing</b>				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	90% remain in or move to PH	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	25
<b>Returns to Homelessness</b>				
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 15% of participants return to homelessness	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	15
<b>New or Increased Income and Earned Income</b>				
Earned income for project stayers	8%+ increase	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	2.5
Non-employment income for project stayers	10%+ increase	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	2.5
Earned income for project leavers	8%+ increase	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	2.5
Non-employment income for project leavers	10%+ increase	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	2.5
<b>Performance Measures Subtotal</b>			0	out of <b>70</b>
<b>SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS</b>				
<b>Serve High Need Populations Subtotal</b>			0	out of <b>0</b>
<b>PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS</b>				
Project has reasonable costs	Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type (total	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	20
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	10
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	10
<b>Project Effectiveness Subtotal</b>			0	out of <b>40</b>
<b>OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA</b>				
CoC Monitoring Score	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	10
<b>Other and Local Criteria Subtotal</b>			0	out of <b>10</b>
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>				
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>			0	out of <b>120</b>
<b>Weighted Rating Score</b>				
<b>Weighted Rating Score</b>				out of <b>100</b>

### PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Organization Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Project Type: \_\_\_\_\_ PSH \_\_\_\_\_  
 Project Identifier: \_\_\_\_\_

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
CoC funding requested		<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$	-
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)				
Amount of private funding				
<b>TOTAL PROJECT COST</b>			\$	-
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year		<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$	-
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year		<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$	-
<b>Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year</b>				<b>0%</b>